arXiv:1604.00836v1 [math.GT] 4 Apr 2016

PLANAR LEGENDRIAN GRAPHS

PETER LAMBERT-COLE AND DANIELLE O'DONNOL[†]

The first author dedicates this work to Joan Lambert

ABSTRACT. We prove two results on the classification of trivial Legendrian embeddings $g : G \to (S^3, \xi_{std})$ of planar graphs. First, the oriented Legendrian ribbon R_g and rotation invariant $\mathrm{rot}(g)$ are a complete set of invariants. Second, if G is 3-connected or contains K_4 as a minor, then the unique trivial embedding of G is Legendrian simple.

1. INTRODUCTION

Several topological knot types - the unknot, the figure-8 knot, torus knots [EF09, EH01, DG07]- are known to be *Legendrian simple*. That is, any Legendrian knot L realizing one of these knot types is determined up to Legendrian isotopy by two classical, homotopy-theoretic invariants of Legendrian knots in S^3: the Thurston-Bennequin number $\mathrm{tb}(L)$ and the rotation number $\mathrm{rot}(L)$. For most other topological types - most famously the 5_2-knot [Che02]- this is not true: there exist Legendrian knots that are smoothly isotopic, have the same Thurston-Bennequin and rotation numbers, but are not isotopic as Legendrian knots.

The classical invariants of Legendrian knots generalize to Legendrian graphs. A natural question is to determine which spatial graphs are Legendrian simple. A *spatial graph* is an embedding of a fixed abstract graph into a 3-manifold M. Two spatial embeddings j_1, j_2 of an abstract graph are *isotopic* if there exists an ambient isotopy f of M such that $f \circ j_1 = j_2$. A spatial graph is *topologically trivial* or *unknotted* if its image is embedded on a smoothly embedded 2-sphere. An abstract graph admits an unknotted embedding in M if and only if it is planar and Mason [Mas69] proved that this embedding is unique up to ambient isotopy. A *Legendrian graph* is an embedding g of an abstract graph into a contact 3-manifold (M, ξ) such that the image is tangent to the contact structure at each point. The image of each cycle is a piecewise-smooth Legendrian knot. Two Legendrian embeddings g_1, g_2 of an abstract graph are *Legendrian isotopic* if there exists a contact isotopy h of (M, ξ) such that $h \circ g_1 = g_2$.

Let $g : G \to (M, \xi)$ be a Legendrian embedding of an abstract graph. The *Legendrian ribbon* R_g of the Legendrian embedding g is a compact, oriented surface with boundary that is unique up to isotopy rel $g(G)$ and invariant under Legendrian isotopy. The *contact framing* $\overline{R}_g$ is the underlying unoriented surface of the Legendrian ribbon and generalizes the contact or Thurston-Bennequin framing of a Legendrian knot. The surface $\overline{R}_g$ encodes the contact framing of every cycle and therefore the Thurston-Bennequin numbers of all nullhomologous cycles. For Legendrian graphs in S^3, the rotation and Thurston-Bennequin numbers of each cycle are well-defined and determine invariants $\mathrm{tb}_g, \mathrm{rot}_g \in \mathbb{Z}^{|C(G)|}$. Generalizing from knots, we say that an isotopy class of embeddings of an abstract graph G is *Legendrian simple* if the pair $(\overline{R}_g, \mathrm{rot}_g)$ is a complete set of invariants of Legendrian embeddings in

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D10; 57M15; 05C10.

Key words and phrases. Contact Topology, Legendrian graphs, Legendrian simple, Convex surface theory.

[†] This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation grant DMS-160036.

this isotopy class, up to Legendrian isotopy. In this article we focus on topologically trivial embeddings of planar graphs. A planar graph G has a unique trivial embedding up to ambient isotopy. When we say the abstract graph G is Legendrian simple this means its unique trivial isotopy class is Legendrian simple.

Some planar graphs are known to be Legendrian nonsimple. Pavelescu and the second author [OP14] showed that the Θ-graph was not Legendrian simple. They found two Legendrian embeddings of the Θ-graph with the same contact framing and rotation invariant but that were distinguished by their Legendrian ribbons.

Remark 1.1. In [OP14], Legendrian simplicity is defined in terms of the pair $(\mathrm{tb}_g, \mathrm{rot}_g)$. However, the contact framing $\overline{R}_g$ is the more natural generalization of the Thurston-Bennequin invariant for Legendrian graphs in arbitrary contact manifolds. Furthermore, their examples remain counter-examples to the present definition of Legendrian simplicity.

In this paper, we prove two results on the simplicity of planar Legendrian graphs. First, we give two sufficient conditions for a planar graph to be Legendrian simple. Let Δ_2 be the graph on 3 vertices with 2 edges connecting each pair of vertices.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be an abstract planar graph and $g : G \to S^3$ its unique topologically trivial embedding.*

1. *If G contains K_4 or Δ_2 as a minor, then the pair $(\overline{R}_g, rot_g)$ is a complete set of invariants.*
2. *If G is 3-connected, the pair (tb_g, rot_g) is a complete set of invariants.*

In both cases, G is Legendrian simple.

Secondly, we show that the only obstruction to Legendrian simplicity is the orientation on the Legendrian ribbon R_g.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be an abstract planar graph. The pair (R_g, rot_g) is a complete set of invariants of topologically trivial Legendrian embeddings $g : G \to S^3$.*

An immediate corollary of Theorem 1.3 is

Corollary 1.4. *Let G be an abstract planar graph. Then there are at most 2 distinct Legendrian isotopy classes of topologically trivial Legendrian embeddings of G with a fixed pair $(\overline{R}, rot)$ classical invariants.*

In contrast to similar statements for Legendrian knots, we do not obtain these results as a consequence of classifying planar Legendrian graphs. Instead, we use convex surface theory to directly construct a contact isotopy between a pair of Legendrian embeddings with the same rotation invariant and oriented Legendrian ribbon.

1.1. **Discussion.** For a fixed set of invariants of a topological or geometric object, the geography and botany problems are two standard questions. The *geography problem* for classical invariants of Legendrian graphs asks: Given a fixed isotopy class of spatial graphs, which pairs $(\overline{R}, \mathrm{rot})$ are realized as the invariants of a Legendrian representative of the class? The *botany question*, which refines the geography problem, asks: Given a fixed isotopy class of spatial graphs and fixed pair of invariants $(\overline{R}, \mathrm{rot})$, how many Legendrian representatives g in the class have $\overline{R}_g = \overline{R}$ and $\mathrm{rot}_g = \mathrm{rot}$?

This paper addresses the botany question. Corollary 1.4 bounds but does not solve the botany question for planar Legendrian graphs. Theorem 1.2 gives two classes of graphs for which the potential obstruction vanishes. However, it does not give a complete characterization of those graphs which are nonsimple.

Question 1.5. *Characterize the planar graphs whose trivial embedding is not Legendrian simple.*

Secondly, for the study of knotted graphs as opposed to the study of knots, there is a important and subtle distinction between embeddings $g : G \to M$ and their images. Let $k : S^1 \to S^3$ be a knot and $\phi : S^1 \to S^1$ be an automorphism. The maps k and $k \circ \phi$ have the same image and since ϕ is isotopic to the identity, it is straightforward to construct an ambient isotopy h_ϕ, supported in a tubular neighborhood of $k(S^1)$, such that $h_\phi \circ k = k \circ \phi$. However, the analogous fact is not true for spatial graphs. Given an abstract graph G, a spatial embedding $j : G \to S^3$, and an automorphism ϕ of G, it is not true in general that there exists an ambient isotopy h_ϕ satisfying $h_\phi \circ j = j \circ \phi$. Hence in the classification of spatial graphs it is necessary to distinguish between embeddings of G up to ambient isotopy and their images up to ambient isotopy.

Question 1.6. *Characterize the difference between Legendrian simple and Legendrian simple up to reparametrization.*

1.2. **Organization.** In Section 2, we review background material on contact geometry, convex surface theory and the classical invariants of Legendrian graphs. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.3 and in Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.2.

1.3. **Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank Jeff Meier and Kent Orr for the discussion that inspired this work.

2. Background

2.1. **Contact geometry.** A (cooriented) *contact structure* (M, ξ) on a 3-manifold M is a plane field $\xi = \ker(\alpha)$ where the 1-form α satisfies the nonintegrability condition $\alpha \wedge d\alpha > 0$. As a result, the contact structure induces an orientation on M and the 2-form $d\alpha$ orients the contact planes. The basic example is the standard contact structure $\xi = \ker(dz - ydx)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$. This can be extended by the one-point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^3$ to the standard structure on S^3. An *overtwisted disk* is an embedded disk D in a contact manifold (M, ξ) with Legendrian boundary such that the contact planes are tangent to D along its boundary. A contact structure is *overtwisted* if it contains an overtwisted disk; if not, the contact structure is *tight*. The standard contact structure on S^3 is tight.

2.2. **Convex surfaces.** Let $\Sigma \in (M, \xi)$ be a surface, either closed or with Legendrian boundary. The restriction of ξ to Σ determines a singular line field $\lambda = \xi \cap T\Sigma$ on Σ which integrates to a singular foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on Σ called the *characteristic foliation*. The singularities of $\mathcal{F}$ occur when ξ is tangent to Σ and are signed according to whether it is a positive or negative tangency.

The surface $\Sigma \in (M, \xi)$ is *convex* if there exists a neighborhood $\nu(\Sigma) = \Sigma \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ in which the contact structure is vertically invariant. If Σ is convex, the *dividing set* is a multicurve Γ_Σ, transverse to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ and unique up to isotopy, that divides Σ into two subsurfaces $\Sigma_\pm$ so that all positive (resp. neg) singularities of $\mathcal{F}$ lie in Σ_+ (resp. Σ_-).

If Σ is convex, then the contact geometry in a neighborhood of Σ is determined by the isotopy class of the dividing set. Giroux's Flexibility Theorem states that we can achieve any characteristic foliation divided by the same multicurve by a C^∞-small perturbation of Σ. Also, if Σ is convex, then Giroux's Criterion states that it has a tight neighborhood if and only if either (1) $\Sigma = S^2$ and the dividing set is connected, or (2) $\Sigma \neq S^2$ and the dividing set has no contractible components.

An arc in Σ is Legendrian if and only if it is contained in $\mathcal{F}$. Let C be a connected Legendrian arc in a surface Σ. If C is not closed, assume its endpoints lie in singularities of the characteristic foliation. Define $\mathrm{tw}(C, \Sigma) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ to be the twisting number of the contact planes along C relative to the framing

determined by Σ. If C connects singularities of opposite sign, the twisting is a half-integer. Otherwise it is a whole integer.

If a Legendrian arc C lies on a surface Σ that is not convex, there is C^0-small isotopy of Σ rel C to a convex surface if and only if $\mathrm{tw}(C,\Sigma) \leq 0$. On a convex surface with dividing set Γ, the twisting number satisfies the formula [Kan98]

$$\mathrm{tw}(C,\Sigma) = -\frac{1}{2}\#(C \cap \Gamma) \tag{1}$$

Let G be a Legendrian graph lying on a surface Σ. If $\mathrm{tw}(e,\Sigma) \leq 0$ for all edges of G, then there is a C^0-small perturbation of Σ, fixing G, so that Σ is convex. Conversely, let G be any graph lying on a convex surface. If G is *nonisolating* - meaning the dividing set Γ intersects every component of $\Sigma \setminus G$ - then G can be *Legendrian realized* [Kan98, Hon00a]. That is, there is a C^0-small isotopy ϕ_t of Σ through convex surfaces, fixing Γ, such that $\phi_1(G)$ is Legendrian. If G is a Legendrian graph lying on a convex surface Σ, we can assume that its vertices lie at elliptic singularities of the characteristic foliation and its edges are leaves of the foliation.

Suppose that two convex surfaces Σ_1, Σ_2 with dividing sets Γ_1, Γ_2 meet transversely along a Legendrian knot L. Then intersections points of $\Gamma_1 \cap L$ and $\Gamma_2 \cap L$ alternate along L. If L lies in the boundary of Σ_1 and Σ_2, then the union $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup_L \Sigma_2$ can be smoothed to a convex surface in a neighborhood of L. Choose coordinates near L so that Σ_1, Σ_2 meet at a right angle. When viewed from the exterior of the right angle, the dividing set Γ of Σ is obtained by connecting each arc of Γ_1 to the arc of Γ_2 that lies to its right.

2.3. **Bypasses.** A positive *bypass disk* is a convex (half)-disk in (M,ξ) with no singularities of its characteristic foliation in its interior and the following four singularities, in order, along its boundary: positive elliptic, positive hyperbolic, positive elliptic, negative elliptic. A negative bypass disk has the same singularity types with opposite signs. The bypass disk has a single dividing arc separating the positive and negative singularities.

Let Σ be an oriented convex surface with dividing set Γ. Let a be an arc in Σ that has endpoints in Γ and intersects Γ once in its interior, as in the first pane of Figure 1. Suppose that there is a bypass whose intersection with Σ is exactly this arc. Then a is an *arc of attachment* for the bypass. The surface Σ can be isotoped over the bypass disk and made convex, but with modified dividing set. If the bypass lies in front - i.e. on the positively cooriented side of Σ - then the dividing set changes as in the middle pane of Figure 1. If the bypass lies in back - i.e. on the negatively cooriented side - then the dividing set changes according to the right pane.



FIGURE 1. Suppose that a bypass can be attached along the arc on the left. If it is in front, then isotoping over the bypass changes the dividing set as in the middle figure. If it is behind, the dividing set changes as on the right.

Bypasses are useful for modifying the isotopy class of the dividing set of a convex surface. However, some bypasses do not change Γ up to isotopy and are called *trivial*. In general, bypasses are hard to find. However, there are several standard principles that allow us to conclude bypasses exist.

(1) **Right-to-Life:** Suppose a is an arc in Σ corresponding to a trivial bypass attachment. Then there exists a bypass along a.
(2) **Imbalance principle:** Suppose that Σ is an annulus or disk and has Legendrian boundary $\partial\Sigma = c_1 \cup c_2$ with c_1, c_2 connected and nonempty with disjoint interiors. Then if $\mathrm{tw}(c_1, \Sigma) < \mathrm{tw}(c_2, \Sigma)$ then there exists a bypass disk in Σ along an arc in c_2.

Bypass disks correspond to *edge stabilizations* of Legendrian graphs. Let e be an edge of a Legendrian graph $g : G \to (S^3, \xi_{std})$ and B a positive bypass disk such that $g(e) \cap B$ is the segment of ∂B connecting the positive elliptic singularities. There is a Legendrian embedding g_s of G, smoothly isotopic to g by an isotopy supported in a neighborhood of B, such that $g_s(e) \cap B$ is the complementary segment of ∂B. If $g(e)$ connects the three positive singularities, the resulting graph g_s is a *negative stabilization* of g. Conversely, if $g(e)$ connects the three elliptic singularities, the resulting graph is a *negative destabilization*. See Figure 2. Similarly, if B is negative bypass disk then isotoping an edge across the bypass corresponds to a *positive stabilization* or *positive destabilization*, as appropriate.

Edge (de)stabilizations can be seen easily on a convex surface. Let g be a Legendrian graph whose image lies on a convex surface Σ with dividing set Γ. Suppose that Γ forms a trivial bigon with some edge $g(e)$. If removing the bigon does not make $g(G)$ isolating, then we can perturb Σ so that it contains a bypass disk along $g(e)$. Using the bypass disk, we can destabilize g. Conversely, take any edge $g(e)$ and isotope it to $g_s(e)$ in Σ so that $g_s(e)$ and Γ form a trivial bigon. By a perturbation of Σ, we can ensure that the disk bounded between $g(e)$ and $g_s(e)$ is exactly a bypass disk. Thus, g_s is a stabilization of g.

In summary, a diagrammatic isotopy of Γ across edges of $g(G)$ (or vice versa) by introducing or eliminating bigons corresponds to stabilizing and destabilizing g. The signs of the stabilization depends on whether the bigon lies on the positive or negative side of the dividing set.

2.4. **Legendrian ribbon, contact framing and Thurston-Bennequin invariant.** A *framing* or *ribbon* for a spatial graph $g : G \to M$ is a compact surface $F \subset M$ containing $g(G)$ as its 1-skeleton. If γ is a cycle in G, then a tubular neighborhood of $g(\gamma)$ in F is a (half-integer) framing for the knot $g(\gamma)$.



FIGURE 2. Stabilization corresponds to adding a bypass disk to a convex Seifert surface Σ. The edge e and its stabilization $S_-(e)$ bound a bypass disk B and a new bigon is formed with the dividing set Γ.

A *Legendrian ribbon* R_g for a Legendrian graph $g : G \to (M, \xi)$ is a compact, oriented surface such that

(1) R_g contains $g(G)$ as its 1-skeleton,
(2) ξ has no negative tangencies to R_g,
(3) there exists a vector field X on R_g tangent to the characteristic foliation of R_g whose time-t flow ϕ_t satisfies $\cap_{t\geq 0}\phi_t(R_g) = g(G)$,
(4) the oriented boundary of R_g is positively transverse to the contact structure ξ.

The Legendrian ribbon is unique up to ambient contact isotopy and thus an invariant of g. The underlying unoriented surface $\overline{R}_g$ is the *contact framing* of g. If γ is a cycle in G, there is a contact isotopy, supported near the vertices in γ, of g to $\widehat{g}$ such that $\widehat{g}(\gamma)$ is a Legendrian knot and a tubular neighborhood of $\widehat{g}(\gamma)$ in $\overline{R}_g$ gives the contact framing of this knot.

At each vertex v, the Legendrian ribbon induces an oriented cyclic ordering of the edges incident to v. Along each edge e, the embedding g can be parametrized by a path $e(t)$ with $e(0) = v$ and $e'(0) = \lim_{t\to 0} e'(t)$ a well-defined vector in $\xi_{g(v)}$. If v has valence k, there is a unique indexing of the edges such that $\{e_1'(0), \dots, e_k'(0)\}$ are cyclically ordered in the oriented contact plane $\xi_{g(v)}$.

Let L be a nullhomologous Legendrian knot in (M, ξ). The *Thurston-Bennequin number* $\mathrm{tb}(L)$ is the integral difference between the contact and nullhomologous framings of L. If L is homologically essential, the contact framing is still a well-defined invariant. If L_1, L_2 are topologically-isotopic Legendrian knots, the difference between their contact framings is always a well-defined integer and L_1, L_2 have the same contact framing if and only if this integral difference is 0.

If $g : G \to (M, \xi)$ is a Legendrian graph, then for each cycle γ of G the contact framing $\overline{R}_g$ determines the contact framing for the Legendrian knot $g : \gamma \to (M, \xi)$. If $g(\gamma)$ is nullhomologous, the cycle γ has a well-defined Thurston-Bennequin number $\mathrm{tb}_g(\gamma)$. For Legendrian graphs in S^3 (with any contact structure), all cycles have nullhomologous image. Given a fixed enumeration of the cycles of G, the *Thurston-Bennequin cycle invariant* $\mathrm{tb}_g \in \mathbb{Z}^{|C(G)|}$ is the vector of Thurston-Bennequin numbers of each cycle [OP12]. It is determined by the contact framing $\overline{R}_g$ but the converse is not true in general.

Edge stabilizations change the Thurston-Bennequin invariant. Suppose that g_s is a stabilization of g along an edge e. Then the Thurston-Bennequin invariants of g, g_s satisfy the relation

$$\mathrm{tb}_{g_s}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{tb}_g(\gamma) - 1 & \text{if } e \in \gamma \\ \mathrm{tb}_g(\gamma) & \text{if } e \notin \gamma \end{cases}$$

Edge destabilization has the opposite effect.

2.5. **Rotation number.** The rotation number is the second classical invariant of Legendrian knots. Using the rotation number, we define two invariants of edge stabilization classes of planar Legendrian graphs.

Let L be an oriented Legendrian knot in (S^3, ξ_{std}). Fix a trivialization of the contact structure ξ_{std} in a 3-ball containing L. The *rotation number* $\mathrm{rot}(L)$ is the winding number of $TL \subset \xi_{std}$ in the contact planes relative to this fixed trivialization. It is independent of the choice of trivialization of ξ_{std}. If Σ is a convex Seifert surface for L then the rotation number satisfies [Kan98]

$$\mathrm{rot}(L) = \chi(\Sigma_+) - \chi(\Sigma_-) \tag{2}$$

For a Legendrian graph $g : G \to (S^3, \xi_{std})$, the rotation number $\mathrm{rot}_g(\gamma)$ of each cycle is well-defined. Given an enumeration of the cycles of G, the *rotation invariant* $\mathrm{rot}_g \in \mathbb{Z}^{|C(G)|}$ is the vector of rotation numbers of each cycle [OP12].

Edge stabilizations change the rotation invariant. Suppose that g_s is a stabilization of g of sign σ along an edge e. Then the rotation invariants of g, g_s satisfy the relation

$$\mathrm{rot}_{g_s}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{rot}_g(\gamma) + \sigma & \text{if } e \in \gamma \\ \mathrm{rot}_g(\gamma) & \text{if } e \notin \gamma \end{cases}$$

Edge destabilization has the opposite effect.

A set of oriented cycles $C = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ in the graph G is *fundamental* if (1) each edge is contained in exactly $2k$ cycles, and (2) k cycles containing an edge are oriented in one directions along that edge and the remaining k cycles are oriented in the opposite direction. For a set of oriented cycles C, the *total rotation number* $\mathrm{rot}_g(C)$ of C is the sum of the rotation numbers of the cycles of C:

$$\mathrm{rot}_g(C) := \sum_{\gamma_i \in C} \mathrm{rot}_g(\gamma_i)$$

Let x, y be a 3-connected pair of vertices in G. Recall that this means at least 3 vertices in G must be removed to separate x and y. By Menger's Theorem, there exists at least 3 vertex-independent, oriented paths p_1, p_2, p_3 from x to y. Let H be the union $p_1 \cup p_2 \cup p_3$, which is a subdivision of a Θ-graph. If $g : G \to M$ is an embedding, then g restricts in an obvious way to an embedding $h : H \to M$ and if g is Legendrian so is h. The graph H has three oriented cycles $C_1 = p_1 - p_2; C_2 = p_2 - p_3; C_3 = p_3 - p_1$ and the set $C = \{C_1, C_2, C_3\}$ is fundamental. If g is Legendrian embedding of G, label the paths such that p_1, p_2, p_3 are positively cyclically ordered in the contact plane $\xi_{g(x)}$.

Lemma 2.1. *The total rotation number of h over the set C satisfies*

$$rot_h(C) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p_1, p_2, p_3 \text{ are negatively cyclically orded in } \xi_{g(y)} \\ 0 & \text{if } p_1, p_2, p_3 \text{ are positively cyclically orded at } \xi_{g(y)} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Up to switching the orientations, this statement is an immediate consequence of the proof of Lemma 5 in [OP14]. □

2.6. **Classifying Legendrian graphs.** Convex surface theory contains powerful techniques for classifying Legendrian knots. The following proposition is a standard tool and its proof is based on deep results in contact topology.

Proposition 2.2. *Let G_1, G_2 be Legendrian graphs lying on convex surfaces S_1, S_2 in (S^3, ξ_{std}).*

1. *Suppose that there is a diffeomorphism $i : S_1 \to S_2$ that sends G_1 diffeomorphically to G_2 and the dividing set Γ_{S_1} diffeomorphically to the dividing set Γ_{S_2}. Then there is a contactomorphism of neighborhoods $j : \nu(S_1) \to \nu(S_2)$ that sends G_1 to G_2.*
2. *If $S_1, S_2 \simeq S^2$ then j extends to a contactomorphism $S^3 \to S^3$.*
3. *Suppose that j extends to a contactomorphism $S^3 \to S^3$. Then G_1 and G_2 are Legendrian isotopic in S^3.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the characteristic foliation on S_1 and $i(\mathcal{F})$ its image on S_2. Then, Giroux's Flexibility Theorem ensures that we can find a C^0-small isotopy of S_2 to S_2', fixing Γ_{S_2}, so that its characteristic foliation is exactly $i(\mathcal{F})$. By Giroux's Reconstruction Lemma, the characteristic foliation determines the contact structures in neighborhoods of S_1, S_2'. As a result, the diffeomorphism i extends to a contactomorphism j of neighborhoods.

Secondly, all smooth spheres in S^3 separate it into two 3-balls. The standard contact structure on S^3 is tight [Ben83] and is unique [Eli92]. In addition, if ξ_1, ξ_2 are tight contact structures on the 3-ball

inducing the same characteristic foliation on the boundary, then they are isotopic [Eli92]. As a result, j extends to the entirety of S^3.

Finally, fix a point $p \in S^3$, let $\xi_p = \xi_{std}(p)$ be the hyperplane of the standard contact structure at p. Define $\mathrm{Diff}_0(S^3)$ to be the set of diffeomorphisms of S^3 that fix ξ_p and $\mathrm{Diff}_{\xi_{std}}$ the group of diffeomorphisms that fix ξ_{std}. Eliashberg [Eli92] proved that the map

$$\mathrm{Diff}_{\xi_{std}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}_0(S^3)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. Thus, we can replace j with a contact isotopy that sends G_1 to G_2. □

3. Botany problem

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3 and show that the pair R_g, rot_g is a complete set of invariants for topologically trivial Legendrian graphs. First, in Subsection 3.1 we prove some preliminary results on topologically trivial Legendrian graphs and fix conventions for the proof. We split the main proof into two parts: in Subsection 3.2 we prove it for the subclass $\mathcal{P}_0$ of Legendrian embeddings that can be realized on a convex sphere, then in Subsection 3.3 we extend it to the general case.

3.1. **Planar Legendrian graphs.** Let G be an abstract, connected planar graph and $g : G \to (S^3, \xi_{std})$ a trivial Legendrian embedding. In addition, all 2-valent vertices of G can be ignored, since they can simultaneously be smoothed through ambient isotopy. Since g is trivial, there exists a smoothly embedded, oriented 2-sphere $\Sigma \subset S^3$ containing the image of g. After a perturbation of Σ, we can assume that ξ has an isolated tangency to Σ at each vertex $g(v)$. The contact plane $\xi_{g(v)}$ is oriented and let $\sigma(v, \Sigma)$ be the sign of this tangency. For each edge e of G, let $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ be the twisting of ξ relative to Σ along e. Equivalently, $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma)$ is the relative difference along e of the framings induced by R_g and Σ_g. If the endpoints of e have the same signs, then $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma)$ is a whole integer, and if they have opposite signs, then $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma)$ is a half-integer. If γ is a cycle, then

$$\mathrm{tb}(\gamma) = \sum_{e \in \gamma} \mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma)$$

since the surface framing in Σ is exactly the nullhomologous framing, as the cycle bounds a disk in Σ.

Let $g : G \to (S^3, \xi_{std})$ be a Legendrian graph and let Σ be an oriented, embedded sphere containing $g(G)$. Let γ_1, γ_2 be two cycles of G whose images in Σ bound disks D_1, D_2 with disjoint interiors. Orient γ_1, γ_2 as the boundaries of D_1, D_2. Define the *boundary connect sum* $\gamma_1 \natural \gamma_2$ of γ_1, γ_2 to be the oriented resolution of $g(\gamma_1) \cup g(\gamma_2)$ in Σ at v into a connected simple closed curve. By a perturbation of Σ, we can assume that $\gamma_1 \natural \gamma_2$ is a Legendrian knot.

Lemma 3.1. *Let γ_1, γ_2 be cycles that intersect at v. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} tb(\gamma_1 \natural \gamma_2) &= tb_g(\gamma_1) + tb_g(\gamma_2) \\ rot(\gamma_1 \natural \gamma_2) &= rot_g(\gamma_1) + rot_g(\gamma_2) - \sigma(v, \Sigma) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\gamma_1 \natural \gamma_2$ is a resolution of $\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$ at $g(v)$, the intersection numbers satisfy

$$-\frac{1}{2}\#\Gamma \cap (\gamma_1 \natural \gamma_2) = -\frac{1}{2}(\#\Gamma \cap \gamma_1 + \#\Gamma \cap \gamma_2)$$

Thus, the Thurston-Bennequin number is additive. Secondly, let D be the disk bounded by $\gamma_1 \natural \gamma_2$. If $\sigma(v, \Sigma) = +1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(D^+) &= \chi(D_1^+) + \chi(D_2^+) - 1\\ \chi(D^-) &= \chi(D_1^-) + \chi(D_2^-)\end{aligned}$$

since the resolution introduces an extra 1-handle connecting D_1^+ to D_2^+. As a result,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{rot}(\gamma_1 \natural \gamma_2) &= \chi(D^+) - \chi(D^-)\\ &= \chi(D_1^+) + \chi(D_2^+) - 1 - \big(\chi(D_1^-) + \chi(D_2^-)\big)\\ &= \chi(D_1^+) - \chi(D_1^-) + \chi(D_2^+) - \chi(D_2^-) - 1\\ &= \mathrm{rot}_g(\gamma_1) + \mathrm{rot}_g(\gamma_2) - 1\end{aligned}$$

A similar argument proves the lemma if $\sigma(v, \Sigma) = -1$. □

3.1.1. *The surface Σ_g.* An edge e of G is a *cut edge* if $G \smallsetminus e$ is disconnected and a pair of edges e_1, e_2 are a *cut pair of edges* if $G \smallsetminus (e_1 \cup e_1)$ is disconnected. Fix an embedding $g : G \to S^2$. An edge e is a cut edge if and only if the same face of $S^2 \smallsetminus g(G)$ lies on both sides of $g(e)$. Similarly, a pair e_1, e_2 are a cut pair of edges if and only if they both lie in the boundaries of an adjacent pair of faces. A vertex v of G is a *cut vertex* if deleting v (and all incident edges) results in a disconnected graph. A pair of vertices v_1, v_2 of G are a *cut pair of vertices* if deleting both of the vertices (and all incident edges) results in a disconnected graph.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $g : G \to (M, \xi)$ be a trivial Legendrian embedding and Σ a 2-sphere containing $g(G)$. Fix $N \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$.*

(1) *If e is a cut edge of G, then there exists a 2-sphere Σ' containing $g(G)$ such that*
$$tw(e, \Sigma') = N$$
(2) *If e_1, e_2 are a cut pair of edges of G, then there exists a 2-sphere Σ' containing $g(G)$ such that*
$$tw(e_1, \Sigma') = N \quad \text{and} \quad tw(e_2, \Sigma') = tw(e_1, \Sigma) + tw(e_2, \Sigma) - N$$

Furthermore, in both cases $tw(e', \Sigma) = tw(e', \Sigma')$ for all other edges e' of G.

Proof. First, let e be a cut edge. Then there is a simple closed curve δ in Σ that intersects G in exactly one point along e. Let D be the disk bounded by this curve. Choose a coordinate chart on a neighborhood U of D in S^3 that sends D to the unit disk in the xy-plane and the segment of e outside D to the positive x-axis. Let $h_{\frac{1}{2}}$ be an isotopy, supported in U, that rotates the unit disk around the x-axis by the angle $-\pi$. Define $h_k = (h_{\frac{1}{2}})^{2k}$ for $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. The surface $h_{N - \mathrm{tw}(e,\Sigma)}(\Sigma)$ is the required surface.

Secondly, if e_1, e_2 are a pair of cut edges then there exists a simple closed curve δ in Σ that intersects G in exactly two points, once in e_1 and once in e_2, bounding a disk D. Define a similar isotopy $h_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of $g(G)$ in S^3 that increments $\mathrm{tw}(e_1, \Sigma)$ by $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathrm{tw}(e_2, \Sigma)$ by $-\frac{1}{2}$. Define h_k as above. As a result, $h_{N - \mathrm{tw}(e_1,\Sigma)}(\Sigma)$ is the required surface. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let g be a Legendrian embedding of G. There exists a smoothly embedded sphere Σ_g containing $g(G)$ such that*

(1) *if e is a cut edge, then $tw(e, \Sigma_g) = 0$, and*
(2) *if $e_1, \dots, e_k$ are the edges in the common boundary of two adjacent faces of $\Sigma_g \setminus g(G)$, then*

$$tw(e_2, \Sigma_g) = \cdots = tw(e_k, \Sigma_g) = 0$$

From this point forward, let Σ_g denote a surface satisfying the conclusions of Corollary 3.3.

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a planar graph and let g_1, g_2 be trivial Legendrian embeddings. If g_1, g_2 have the same Legendrian ribbon, then the pairs $(\Sigma_{g_1}, g_1(G))$ and $(\Sigma_{g_2}, g_2(G))$ are diffeomorphic.*

Proof. By Whitney's Theorem [Whi32], if G is 3-connected then all embeddings $g : G \to S^2$ are equivalent up to homeomorphism of S^2.

If G is not 3-connected, we can use R_g to define an extension H that is 3-connected as follows. Recall that if e is a cut edge then $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g) = 0$. Since there is no twisting of R_g relative to Σ_g along e, isotope R_g to lie in Σ_g along e. Add two extra edges parallel to e, one on either side. This extension is still planar since it lies in Σ_g but it also clearly only depends on R_g. If e_1, e_2 form a cut pair, then up to relabeling we can assume that $\mathrm{tw}(e_2, \Sigma_g) = 0$. Similarly, add a pair of edges parallel to e_2. Once this is accomplished for all cut edges and cut pairs of edges, the resulting graph H' is 3-edge-connected.

Now, let v be a vertex of H' with incident edges $e_1, \dots, e_k$ in oriented cyclic order. Near v, there is an isotopy of R_g so that it lies in Σ_g and therefore R_g determines the cyclic ordering at v. Subdivide each edge to introduce k new vertices $v_1, \dots, v_k$. Now attach edges connecting v_i to v_{i+1} for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let H be the graph obtained by this procedure at every vertex of H'. Since H' is 3-edge connected any cut vertex v of H' will have at least three edges to each of the components that result from its deletion. So in H it will take at least three vertex deletions to disconnect such a component. Similarly, pairs of cut vertices of H' are no longer pairs of cut vertices in H. The resulting graph H is 3-connected. Thus, the embedding of $H \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g$ is unique up to homeomorphism of S^2. Moreover, the construction of H depended only on R_g. □

3.1.2. *Overview of the proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let $p(g)$ be the number of edges of G such that $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g) > 0$ and define $\mathcal{P}_k$ to be the set of Legendrian embeddings g such that $p(g) \leq k$. This defines an increasing sequence of subclasses

$$\mathcal{P}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{P}_n \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{P}_{|E(G)|}$$

The surface Σ_g can be made convex with $g(G)$ lying in its characteristic foliation if and only if $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g) \leq 0$ for all edges e of G. Thus, only Legendrian graphs in $\mathcal{P}_0$ can be Legendrian realized on a convex 2-sphere. In general, we can realize graphs in $\mathcal{P}_k$ on convex surfaces of genus k.

In Subsection 3.2, we will first prove Theorem 1.3 for Legendrian embeddings in $\mathcal{P}_0$ and then in Subsection 3.3 extend this to arbitrary trivial Legendrian embeddings.

3.2. **Legendrian graphs in $\mathcal{P}_0$.** First we prove Theorem 1.3 for Legendrian graphs in the subclass $\mathcal{P}_0$.

If $g \in \mathcal{P}_0$ then there exists a C^0-small perturbation of Σ_g fixing $g(G)$ such that Σ_g is convex and contains $g(G)$ in its characteristic foliation. The convex sphere Σ_g has a single dividing curve Γ, since (S^3, ξ_{std}) is tight. The dividing curve separates Σ_g into two components $\Sigma_g^{\pm}$ containing the positive and negative tangencies of ξ to Σ, respectively. Orient Γ as the boundary of the positive region Σ_g^+

Let F be a face of $\Sigma_g \setminus g(G)$. Since G is connected, F is a topological disk. Choose a pushoff of ∂F into F and perturb Σ_g so that this pushoff is Legendrian. By abuse of notation, we use ∂F

to denote this Legendrian unknot. The classical invariants of ∂F are $\mathrm{tb}(\partial F) = \sum_{e\in\partial F} \mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g)$ and $\mathrm{rot}(\partial F) = \chi(F^+) - \chi(F^-)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let F be a face of Σ_g. The invariants $tb(\partial F), rot(\partial F)$ are determined by R_g, rot_g.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that F is not incident to any cut edges. By Corollary 3.3, we can assume that $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma) = 0$ along each cut edge e. Thus, contracting the edge e does not change the Legendrian isotopy class of ∂F.

If F is not incident to any cut edges, then the boundary of F is the union of a collection of cycles $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$, identified at cut vertices of G. Consequently, ∂F is the boundary connect sum $\gamma_1 \natural \dots \natural \gamma_k$. So by Lemma 3.1, the classical invariants of ∂F can be computed from the classical invariants of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ and the signs of vertices, which are determined by R_g, rot_g. □

The dividing set intersects ∂F in $2 \cdot \mathrm{tb}(\partial F)$ points and intersects each edge e of ∂F in $2 \cdot \mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g)$ points. Starting with a positive intersection point (with respect to the oriented intersection of ∂F and Γ), label the points $\partial F \pitchfork \Gamma$ as $x_1, \dots, x_{2\cdot \mathrm{tb}(\partial F)}$. The signs of the intersections alternate along ∂F, so the sign of x_i is $-(-1)^i$. In addition, if a vertex v lies along ∂F between x_i and x_{i+1}, then $\sigma(v, \Sigma_g) = -(-1)^i$.

Let g_1, g_2 be two Legendrian embeddings of G with the same invariants R_g, rot_g. Let $\Sigma_i = \Sigma_{g_i}$ be the convex sphere containing g_i in its characteristic foliation and let Γ_i be the dividing curve of Σ_i. By Lemma 3.4, there exists a diffeomorphism $j : (\Sigma_1, g_1(G)) \to (\Sigma_2, g_2(G))$. Since g_1, g_2 have the same Legendrian ribbon, they have the same edge invariant $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma) = -\frac{1}{2}\#g(e) \cap \Gamma$. Thus, we can assume j sends $g_1(G) \cap \Gamma_1$ to $g_2(G) \cap \Gamma_2$. In addition, the Legendrian ribbon determines the sign of tangency of ξ at each vertex. Thus, if F_1 and F_2 are corresponding faces, the map j preserves the orientations of the points of $\partial F_i \cap \Gamma_i$.

In order to apply Proposition 2.2 and conclude g_1, g_2 are ambient isotopic, we need to find sequences of bypasses on Σ_1 and Σ_2 so that $j(\Gamma_1) = \Gamma_2$.

The following lemma is our main tool for finding bypasses.

Lemma 3.6. *Every potential arc of attachment a on S^2 corresponds to a trivial bypass attachment either in front or in back.*

Proof. Since ξ_{std} is tight, Giroux's Criterion implies that the dividing set is connected. Therefore, up to isotopy, there are two possible attaching arcs. See Figure 3. Attaching a bypass disk in front along the first arc is trivial and attaching a bypass in back along the second arc is also trivial. The Right-to-Life principle ensures that such bypasses exist. □



FIGURE 3. Attaching a trivial bypass along the arc a either in front the sphere (top line) or behind the sphere (bottom line)

Proposition 3.7. *Let g_1, g_2 be trivial Legendrian embeddings of G with the same invariants R_g, rot_g. Suppose that $g_i(G)$ lies on the convex sphere Σ_{g_i} with dividing curve Γ_i. Then*

1. *Let F_1, F_2 be corresponding faces. There exists sequences of bypasses attached to $\Sigma_{g_1}, \Sigma_{g_2}$ in the interiors of F_1, F_2 such that, after attaching these bypasses, the dividing sets in F_1, F_2 are isotopic rel boundary.*
2. *There exists sequences of bypasses on Σ_{g_1} and Σ_{g_2} such that, after attaching these bypasses, the dividing curves Γ_1, Γ_2 are isotopic rel $g_1(G), g_2(G)$.*
3. *The Legendrians embeddings g_1, g_2 are isotopic.*

Remark 3.8. Statement (1) of Proposition 3.7 implies that the unknot is Legendrian simple. However, the proof in [EF09] is not sufficient for our purposes. In particular, Eliashberg and Fraser eliminate positive elliptic singularities of the characteristic foliation along the boundary of a Seifert disk. However, the vertices of a Legendrian graph occur at elliptic singularities of both signs and cannot be eliminated.

Proof. Statement (2) follows by applying Statement (1) to each face and Statement (3) follows from Statement (2) by Proposition 2.2. Thus, we just need to prove Statement (1) of the proposition.

To prove Statement (1), we induct on $|\mathrm{tb}(\partial F)|$. If $\mathrm{tb}(F_1) = \mathrm{tb}(F_2) = -1$, then $\Gamma_i \cap \partial F_i$ consists of two points and $\Gamma_i \cap F_i$ is a single arc that is unique up to isotopy.

Now suppose that $\mathrm{tb}(\partial F_1) = \mathrm{tb}(\partial F_2) = -n$ and the statement is true for faces F with $\mathrm{tb}(F) = -n+1$. We prove the inductive step in 3 parts: (A) if F_1, F_2 have *matched bypasses*, then we can reduce to the case when $\mathrm{tb}(\partial F_1) = \mathrm{tb}(\partial F_2) = -n+1$; (B) if F_1, F_2 have *adjacent bypasses*, then we can modify the dividing sets to find matched bypasses; and finally (C) we can always ensure that matched bypasses or adjacent bypasses occur.

Part (A): Suppose that there are corresponding pairs of points x_i, x_{i+1} and y_i, y_{i+1} such that the dividing sets in both disks connects these points. We refer to these as *matched bypasses*. Subdivide the edges between x_{i-1}, x_i and between x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}. Add an edge to $g_1(G)$ through F_1 connecting these new vertices and disjoint from Γ_1. This separates F_1 into two faces, F_1' and D_1, where D_1 contains the bypass. Consequently, $\mathrm{tb}(F_1') = -n+1$, $\mathrm{tb}(D_1) = -1$ and $\mathrm{rot}(\partial F_1') = \mathrm{rot}(\partial F_1) - (-1)^i$. Add a corresponding edge to $g_2(G)$ through F_2. The unknots $\partial F_1', \partial F_2'$ have the same classical invariants and thus are Legendrian isotopic. By induction, there exists sequences of bypasses attached within F_1', F_2' that equate the dividing sets in these subfaces. After attaching these bypasses, the dividing sets in F_1, F_2 are now isotopic rel boundary as well.

Part (B): Suppose that the points x_{i+2}, x_{i+3} are connected by a dividing arc and that y_i and y_{i+1} are connected by a dividing arc, as in Figure 4b. We refer to these as *adjacent bypasses*. Then we can find a bypass along an arc a in F_1 from x_i to x_{i+2} and a bypass along an arc b in F_2 from y_{i+1} to y_{i+3}. Each bypass must be attached either behind or in front and the potential effect is summarized in Figure 4a.

In all four cases, we can find a pair of matched bypasses and reduce to the previous step: If the bypass along a is attached in front, then attach this bypass and do not attach the bypass along b. As a result, the dividing sets connect x_i to x_{i+1} and y_i to y_{i+1} and so we have found matched bypasses. We can similarly find matched bypasses if the bypass along b is attached behind. Finally, suppose a is attached behind and b is attached in front. After attaching both bypasses, the dividing sets connect x_{i+1} to x_{i+2} and y_{i+1} to y_{i+2} and we have found matched bypasses.

Part (C): We now show that after attaching a sequence of bypasses, we can ensure that one of the above two cases occurs.



(A) Attaching a trivial bypass along ∂F either in front (middle) or behind (right) produces a boundary-parallel dividing arc.



(B) **Adjacent bypasses:** If $\partial F_1, \partial F_2$ have adjacent bypasses, then after a sequence of bypass attachments the faces F_1, F_2 have matched bypasses.



(C) At the 2nd step, attaching the bypasses along a_2 and b_2 produces either (1) matched bypasses, (2) adjacent bypasses, or (3) increases the sequence of positive bypasses in F_1 and negative bypasses in F_2.

FIGURE 4. The possible effects on the dividing sets of attaching bypasses near the boundary of F_1, F_2. In each figure, the effect of attaching behind is in the middle and attaching in front is on the right.

Start by attaching bypasses along arcs in F_1 from x_1 to x_3 and in F_2 from y_1 to y_3 as shown in Figure 4a. If they are both attached on the same side, then we have matching bypasses as in part (A). The other possibility is that they are attached on opposite sides and without loss of generality we assume that the bypass on F_1 is attached behind and the bypass on F_2 is attached in front.

Now, attach a bypass along an arc a_2 in F_1 from x_3 to x_5 and along b_2 in F_2 from y_4 to y_6. See Figure 4c. If the bypass in F_1 is attached in front, the new dividing arc connects x_4 to x_5 and forms a

bypass adjacent to the bypass formed by the arc connecting y_2 to y_3. If the bypass in F_1 is attached behind and the bypass in F_2 is attached in front, then there are adjacent bypasses formed by arcs from x_3 to x_4 and from y_5 to y_6. The only remaining option is that both are attached behind.

In this case, repeat the previous step by attaching bypasses along the arcs from x_5 to x_7 and from y_6 to y_8. If this does not result in matched or adjacent bypasses, repeat again. Continue attaching bypasses along the arcs from x_{2i-1} to x_{2i+1} and from y_{2i} to y_{2i+2}. Suppose that after i pairs of bypass attachments, we have not found corresponding destabilizations. Then there are i dividing arcs in F_1 connecting x_{2j-1} to x_{2j} and i dividing arcs in F_2 connecting y_{2j} to y_{2j+1} for $j = 1, \dots, i$. This implies that ∂F_1 admits i positive destabilizations and ∂F_2 admits i negative destabilizations. So $\mathrm{rot}(\partial F_1) \geq -n + 1 + 2i$ and $\mathrm{rot}(\partial F_2) \leq n - 1 - 2i$. Moreover, since $\mathrm{rot}(\partial F_1) = \mathrm{rot}(\partial F_2)$ this implies that $2i \leq n - 1$. Thus if $i > \frac{n-1}{2}$ we reach a contradiction. Since we can repeat the procedure $n - 1$ times, at some point we must find matched or adjacent bypasses. □

3.3. **Legendrian graphs in $\mathcal{P}_k$.** Finally, we use the results of Subsection 3.2 to prove Theorem 1.3 in general.

Let g_1, g_2 be Legendrian embeddings of G in $\mathcal{P}_k$ with the same invariants R_g, rot_g. To prove that g_1, g_2 are Legendrian isotopic we use the following strategy. First, we find convex surfaces S_1, S_2 of genus k containing $g_1(G), g_2(G)$ in their characteristic foliations. Next, we extend G to a graph Q with and extend g_1, g_2 to Legendrian embeddings $q_1, q_2 : Q \to (S^3, \xi_{std})$ such that $q_i(Q) \subset S_i$. There is a subgraph $J \subset Q$ such that $j_1 := q_1|_J$ and $j_2 := q_2|_J$ are Legendrian embeddings in $\mathcal{P}_0$ and such that j_1, j_2 have the same invariants R_j, rot_j. Thus, by Proposition 3.7 there is a Legendrian isotopy h such that $h \circ j_1 = j_2$. Finally, we show that h extends to a Legendrian isotopy of q_1, q_2 and therefore restricts to an isotopy of g_1, g_2.

3.3.1. *The surface S_g.* Let g be a Legendrian embedding of G with $p(g)$ edges with $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g) > 0$. We will call such edges *positive edges*. Take the sphere Σ_g and attach an unknotted 1-handle to Σ_g in a neighborhood of each positive edge of g. Let S_g be the resulting genus $p(g)$ surface. For each positive edge, let m_e and l_e denote the corresponding meridan and longitude of the handle. We assume that S_g is oriented so that m_e bounds a disk behind S_g and l_e bounds a disk in front. In addition, we assume that the pair has oriented intersection number $\langle m_e, l_e \rangle = 1$. See Figure 5a.

Let $k_e = \lceil \mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g) \rceil$. We can isotope S_g so that G lies on S_g and the edge e crosses the handle and winds k_e-times positively around it. Specifically, there is an orientation on e so that its oriented intersection numbers with the meridian and longitude are $\langle m_e, e \rangle = 1$ and $\langle e, l_e \rangle = k_e$. Index the points of $e \cap l_e = \{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$ according to their position on e. See Figure 5a.

The twisting number of e relative to S_g is now $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g) - k_e$ which equals $-\frac{1}{2}$ or 0. Consequently, there is a C^0-small perturbation of S_g fixing $g(G)$ so that S_g is convex and contains $g(G)$ in its characteristic foliation.

Fix two points y_1, y_2 on the longitude l_e such that there is an arc c_2 from y_1 to y_2 in l_e that hits the points $z_1, \dots, z_{k_e}$ in order. The other arc c_1 of l_e is therefore disjoint from the edge e. Index y_1, y_2 so that the oriented boundary of this arc is $y_1 - y_2$. Furthermore, fix two points x_1, x_2 on e such that the arc d from x_1 to x_2 intersects the longitude k_e-times.

Finally, choose four arcs a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 such that

(1) the four arcs are mutually disjoint, disjoint from $g(G)$, and disjoint from l_e
(2) the arcs have oriented boundaries $\partial a_i = x_i - y_i$ and $\partial b_i = y_i - x_i$
(3) the loops $\{a_i \cup b_i\}$ are oriented meridians of the handle isotopic to m_e.

See Figure 5b.



(A) Attach a handle to Σ_g with meridian m_e and longitude l_e. Isotope S_g so that e winds k_e-times around the handle.



(B) Choose points x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 and arcs a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2.



(C) The dividing set near the handle.

FIGURE 5. The handle of the surface S_g near the positive edge e.

Lemma 3.9. *Let S_g be the genus k convex surface with dividing set Γ and containing $g(G)$ in its characteristic foliation. After possibly attaching some bypasses and isotoping the dividing set, we can assume that*

(1) $\#(d \cap \Gamma) = 0$
(2) $\#(m_e \cap \Gamma) = 2$
(3) $\#(a_i \cap \Gamma) = 2$ *and* $\#(b_i \cap \Gamma) = 0$
(4) $\#(c_2 \cap \Gamma) = 2k_e - 2$
(5) $\#(c_1 \cap \Gamma) = 2k_e$

See Figure 5c.

Proof. **Part (1):** If $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g)$ is a half-integer, then $\mathrm{tw}(e, S_g) = -\frac{1}{2}$ and so the dividing set Γ must intersect e exactly once. We can isotope this intersection outside of the arc d to lie between x_2 and v_2, the vertex at the head of the oriented edge e. Otherwise, $\mathrm{tw}(e, S_g) = 0$ and so Γ is disjoint from e.

Part (2): The meridian m_e must intersect the dividing set. Otherwise, the meridian can be Legendrian realized and the compressing disk it bounds is an overtwisted disk, which violates tightness. After a perturbation, we can assume that the meridian bounds a convex compressing disk D with $\frac{1}{2}\#(m_e \cap \Gamma)$ dividing arcs. Suppose that the dividing set on D contains a boundary-parallel arc that is isotopic to an arc in $\partial D = m_e$ disjoint from the graph $g(G)$. Unless this arc is the only component of the dividing set of D, we can attach a bypass to the back of S_g. The graph $g(G)$ still lies on the surface S_g and this bypass attachment reduces $\#(m_e \cap \Gamma)$ by 2. Furthermore, such a boundary-parallel arc must exist as long as $\#(m_e \cap \Gamma) \geq 4$, since $g(G)$ intersects m_e in exactly one point. Thus, we can continue attaching bypasses and reducing $\#(m_e \cap \Gamma)$ until it equals 2.

Part (3): Since Γ intersects the meridian m_e twice, we can assume it intersects the meridian $a_i \cup b_i$ exactly twice as well. By an isotopy, we can assume these intersections lie in a_i and not b_i.

Part (4): This follows from parts (1) and (3), along with the fact that $\langle d, l_e \rangle = k_e$.

Part (5): Finally, let K be the union of the four arcs a_1, c_1, b_2, d. This is a closed loop that is an unknot in S^3. The difference between the surface framing induced by S_g and its nullhomologous framing is k_e, so from parts (1) and (2) we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tw}(c_1, S_g) &= \mathrm{tw}(K, S_g) - \mathrm{tw}(a_1, S_g) - \mathrm{tw}(b_2, S_g) - \mathrm{tw}(d, S_g) \\ &= \mathrm{tw}(K, S_g) + 1 \\ &= \mathrm{tb}(K) + k_e + 1 \\ &\leq k_e\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows since $\mathrm{tb}(K) \leq -1$. Using the identity $\mathrm{tw}(c_1, S_g) = -\frac{1}{2}\#(c_1 \cap \Gamma)$, this implies that c_1 intersects the dividing set at least $2k_e$-times.

Conversely, choose a convex realization of the compression disk D bounded by l_e. By part (4) and the immediately preceeding argument, we have $\#(l_e \cap \Gamma) \geq 4k_e - 2$. This implies that D has $\geq 2k_e - 1$ dividing arcs, with endpoints alternating along l_e with the intersections of l_e with Γ. Up to isotopy, we can assume that $2k_e - 1$ endpoints lie in c_2 and with the remaining endpoints in c_1.

We can furthermore conclude that no dividing arc connects two endpoints on c_1. If so, this implies that we can find an arc of attachment along l_e with midpoint at one of the intersection points of c_1 and Γ. After attaching a bypass in front of S_g, we can then find a meridian of the 1-handle disjoint from the new dividing set. This violates tightness since it can be Legendrian realized and therefore bounds an overtwisted compressing disk.

Now, suppose that $\#(c_1 \cap \Gamma) \geq 2k_e$. Then by the Imbalance Principle, there must be a boundary-parallel dividing curve on the compressing disk D with endpoints on c_1. This corresponds to a bypass arc of attachment lying completely inside c_1. In particular, the arc of attachment is disjoint from the graph $g(G)$ and hence we can attach this bypass and reduce $\#(c_1 \cap \Gamma)$ by 2, keeping $g(G)$ fixed. Repeating this argument until $\#(c_1 \cap \Gamma) = 2k_e$ proves part (5). □

The loops $a_1 \cup b_1$ and $a_2 \cup b_2$ bound an annulus A_e in S_g and the arc d is the restriction of e to A_e. Let d_k be an embedded arc from x_1 to x_2 in A_e, homologous to $d + k[m_e]$ and isotoped to have minimal intersection with Γ. By a perturbation of S_g, we can assume d_k is Legendrian. Furthermore, isotope m_e to intersect d_k exactly once, orient d_k and m_e so that the intersection point of $m_e \cap d_k$ is positive. Let $\overline{d}_k$ be a Legendrian realization of the arc obtained by resolving the intersection point $m_e \cap d_k$. Note that $\overline{d}_k$ is isotopic to d_{k+1} in A_e but not necessarily isotopic rel Γ. See Figure 6.

Lemma 3.10. *Let d_k denote a Legendrian arc in A_e as described above.*

1. *For all k, the arcs d_k and $\overline{d}_k$ are Legendrian isotopic,*
2. *The arcs $b_1 \cup c_1 \cup b_2$ and d_{-k_e} are Legendrian isotopic rel x_1, x_2*
3. *If $k \geq 0$, then d is Legendrian isotopic rel boundary to d_k.*
4. *If $k < 0$, then d is Legendrian isotopic rel boundary to d_k after applying $-k$ positive and $-k$ negative stabilizations to d.*

In addition $\#\Gamma \cap d_k = 2|k|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = dz - ydx$ be the standard contact form on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let D be a compressing disk bounded by m_e. After possibly a perturbation of S_g and D, we can find a contactmorphism between $\nu(D)$ and $[-\pi, \pi] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that: (1) the handle is identified with the surface $A = \{y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$, the meridian m_e is identified with the loop $A \cap \{x = 0\}$, the arc d_k is identified with the line $(x, 0, 1)$, and $\overline{d}_k$ is identified with the curve $(x, \sin x, -\cos x)$. Then for $t \in [0, 1]$ there is a family a_t of Legendrian curves $a_t = (x, t \sin x, -t \cos x)$. This gives a Legendrian isotopy between d_k and $\overline{d}_k$ and proves Part (1).

For Part (2), first note that replacing b_2 with a_2 corresponds to a stabilization of sign $-\sigma(v_1, \Sigma_g)$. This is true since there is a path from x_2 to v_2 that crosses Γ an even number of times. To prove the statement, we will show that the arc d_{-k_e} is also destabilization of the arc $b_1 \cup c_1 \cup a_2$ of sign $-\sigma(v_1, \Sigma_g)$. Attach a compressing disk along a pushoff of l_e. See Figure 7a. Recall from Lemma 3.9 that the dividing set on the compressing disk is $2k_e - 1$ arcs from c_1 to c_2. After smoothing the corners, the dividing set consists of $2k_e$ arcs from d_{-k_e} to $b_1 \cup c_1 \cup a_2$ and one arc connecting c_1 to itself, which encloses a bigon of sign $-\sigma(v_1, \Sigma_g)$. See Figure 7b.

Part (3) follows from Part (1) since $\overline{d}_k$ is Legendrian isotopic to d_{k+1} for $k \geq 0$. Part (4) also follows from Part (1) since if $k < 0$ then Γ and $\overline{d}_k$ form two trivial bigons, one positive and one negative. See Figure 6. After removing these, which corresponds to a positive destabilization and a negative destabilization, the arc is isotopic rel Γ to d_{k+1}. □

Lemma 3.11. *Fix $k \geq 0$ and arcs $f_1 \in \{a_1, b_1\}$ and $f_2 \in \{a_2, b_2\}$. Then the cycle $\gamma := d_k \cup f_1 \cup c_1 \cup f_2$ is a Legendrian unknot with $tb(\gamma) = -1$ and $rot(\gamma) = 0$.*

Proof. Consider the cycle $\gamma = d_k \cup b_1 \cup c_1 \cup b_2$. The curve γ is homologous to $[l_e] + (k + k_e - 1)[m_e]$ and therefore the difference between the surface framing and the nullhomologous framing is $k + k_e - 1$. In addition, $\#(\gamma \cap \Gamma) = 2k + 2k_e$ by Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10. Thus, $\mathrm{tb}(\gamma) = -\frac{1}{2}(2k + 2k_e) + k + k_e - 1 = -1$ and this further implies that $\mathrm{rot}(\gamma) = 0$.



FIGURE 6. Resolve the intersection of d_k with the meridian m yields the arc $\overline{d}_k$. The case of $k < 0$ is on the left and $k > 0$ is on the right.



(A) The dividing curves on S_g and a longitudinal compressing disk.



(B) The dividing set after attaching the compressing disk.

FIGURE 7. The arc d_{-k_e} is Legendrian isotopic to a destabilization of $b_1 \cup c_1 \cup a_2$.

Replacing b_i with a_i increases the surface framing by 1 and increases $\#(\gamma \cap \Gamma)$ by 2, preserving $\mathrm{tb}(\gamma) = -1$ and $\mathrm{rot}(\gamma) = 0$. □

3.3.2. *The Legendrian graphs q, j.* Now, using the surface S_g, we define two new abstract graphs Q, J and Legendrian embeddings $q : Q \to (S^3, \xi_{std})$ and $j : J \to (S^3, \xi_{std})$.

First, we define a genus 0 convex surface Σ_j by surgering the handles of S_g. For each handle of S_g, we pick two Legendrian meridians according to the sign of $\sigma(v_1, \Sigma_g)$ as follows:

(1) If $\sigma(v_1, \Sigma_g)$ is positive, set $D_e = d$ and set $X_i = x_i$ and $m_i = a_i \cup b_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.
(2) If $\sigma(v_1, \Sigma_g)$ is negative, replace the arc d with d_1. The arc d_1 intersects the dividing set Γ twice, separating d_1 into three segments: two in S_g^- and on in S_g^+. Let X_1, X_2 be points on d_1 in S_g^+ and let D_e be the subarc of d_1 connecting X_1, X_2. Now pick two meridians m_1, m_2 of the handle that intersect d_1 at X_1, X_2, respectively and that intersect Γ twice each.

In both cases, the meridians can be Legendrian-realized as unknots with $\mathrm{tb} = -1$. Remove the annulus bounded by m_1, m_2 and replace it with two convex compressing disks bounded by m_1 and m_2. Let Σ_j denote this surgered surface and after a perturbation, we can assume Σ_j is convex.

Now, we define a graph $j : J \to (S^3, \xi_{std})$ with image on Σ_j. For each positive edge e, define the embedded graph J_e as follows:

(1) include the subset of $g(e)$ lying on Σ_j and the arcs a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 and c_2.
(2) if $\sigma(v_1)$ is negative, include the arc r_1 along d_1 from x_1 to X_1, the arc r_2 along d_1 from X_2 to x_2, and the meridians m_1, m_2. In addition, include two edges r_1', r_1'' parallel to r_1 and r_2', r_2'' parallel to r_2, one on each side in Σ_j.
(3) include two edges c_2', c_2'' parallel to c_2, one on each side in Σ_j.
(4) if $\sigma(v_2, \Sigma_g) \neq \sigma(v_1, \Sigma_g)$, include two parallel arcs of the arc in e from x_2 to v_2, one on each side.

Let $j(J)$ be the Legendrian graph obtained by replacing e with J_e for each positive edge e. See Figure 8 for the graph J_e with the vertices v_1, v_2 have signs $\sigma(v_1) = -1$ and $\sigma(v_2) = +1$. For other cases, the graph J_e is a subgraph of the graph in Figure 8.

Lemma 3.12. *The graph $j : J \to (S^3, \xi_{std})$ can be Legendrian realized on Σ_j. In addition, the convex surface Σ_j satisfies the conclusions of Corollary 3.3.*

Proof. To apply the Legendrian realization principle to $j(J)$, the dividing curve Γ must intersect every face on Σ_j, which is clear from Figure 8. In addition, the extra parallel edges are added so that J has no cut edges or pair of cut edges. Thus j trivially satisfies the conclusions of Corollary 3.3. □



FIGURE 8. The graph J_e when $\sigma(v_1) = -1$ and $\sigma(v_2) = +1$. For the sake of clarity, the parallel edges are left out. If $\sigma(v_1) = +1$, remove the edges r_1, m_1, r_2, m_2. If $\sigma(v_2) = \sigma(v_1)$, then Γ does not intersect the edge connecting x_2, v_2.

Let $q : Q \to (S^3, \xi_{std})$ be the Legendrian graph whose image is the union of the image of $j(J)$ and the arcs D_e for each positive edge e. The image of q contains the images of g and j and we can choose the Legendrian embeddings g, j so that g, j are restrictions of q.



FIGURE 9. The shaded bigon is on the negative side of the dividing curve. The trivial bypass attached along the arc can be found by pushing the annulus bounded by the pair α, β off the sphere and perturbing it to be convex.

Lemma 3.13. *Suppose that a is a trivial arc of attachment on Σ_j in the complement of $j(J)$. Then we can assume the bypass attached along a is disjoint from $q(Q)$.*

Proof. First, the complement of $j(J)$ in $q(Q)$ lies on the front side of Σ_j, so if the bypass is attached behind it is clearly disjoint from Q.

Now, suppose that a is an attachment arc for a trivial bypass in front. By the following careful analysis of the proof of the Right-to-Life principle, we can ensure that the trivial bypass is disjoint from $q(Q)$.

The arc a and dividing set Γ bound two bigons B_+ and B_-, one positive and one negative. Let $a_\pm$ be the segment of a in the boundary of $B_\pm$. Let β be the boundary of a neighborhood of $B_- \cup a$ and let α be the boundary of a neighborhood of the endpoint of a away from B_- and contained in β. See Figure 9. The curves α, β cobound an annulus A.

All of the points of $\partial\overline{(q(Q) \setminus j(J))}$ are positive singularities of the characteristic foliation. Moreover, we can choose α, β so that A does not contain any of these singularities. Now push β and A vertically into the front side of Σ_j. The annulus A may intersect the surface S_g but we can assume that it is disjoint from the graph $q(Q)$.

Convex realize A. The trivial bypass along a is contained in the annulus A, which is disjoint from $q(Q)$. □

3.3.3. *Isotopy.* Let g_1, g_2 be Legendrian embeddings of G with the same invariants R_g, rot_g. Let q_1, j_1 and q_2, j_2 be the corresponding Legendrian graphs defined in the previous Subsubsection. We will show that g_1, g_2 are Legendrian isotopic.

Lemma 3.14. *Let g_1, g_2 be Legendrian embeddings of G with the same invariants R_g, rot_g. Then*

(1) *the abstract graphs Q_1, Q_2 and J_1, J_2 are identical,*

(2) *the graphs* j_1, j_2 *have the same invariants* R_j, rot_j,
(3) *the graphs* q_1, q_2 *have the same invariants* R_q, rot_q, *and*
(4) *there exists sequences of bypasses on* Σ_{j_1} *and* Σ_{j_2} *such that, after attaching the bypasses, the dividing sets on* $\Sigma_{j_1}, \Sigma_{j_2}$ *are isotopic rel* $j_1(J), j_2(J)$.

Proof. The embeddings g_1, g_2 have the same Legendrian ribbon, so they have the same edge invariant $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g)$, the same set of positive edges $p(g)$, and each vertex has the same sign $\sigma(v, \Sigma_g)$ for both embeddings. For each positive edge e connecting a pair of vertices v_1, v_2, the graph J_e is determined by $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g), \sigma(v_1, \Sigma_g)$ and $\sigma(v_2, \Sigma_g)$. This proves Part (1).

The Legendrian ribbon R_j can be obtained from a tubular neighborhood of $j(J)$ in Σ_j by adding $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_j)$ twists along each edge e. Since the image of $j(J_e)$ in Σ_j is determined by $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g), \sigma(v_1, \Sigma_g)$ as well, the graphs j_1, j_2 have the same Legendrian ribbon. We can also obtain R_q as the union of R_g and R_j and so q_1, q_2 have the same Legendrian ribbon.

For each cycle γ of J and each positive edge e, either (1) γ is contained in J_e, (2) γ is disjoint from J_e, or (3) γ contains a path p_e in J_e from v_1 to v_2. In the first case, it is clear from Figure 8 that either $\mathrm{rot}_j(\gamma) = 0$, or if γ is made of two edges between y_1 and y_2, then $\mathrm{rot}(\gamma)$ depends only on the signs of the vertices y_1 and y_2.

Now suppose that γ contains paths $\{p_{e_i}\}$ in $\{J_{e_i}\}$ for some collection of positive edges $\{e_i\}$. We can replace γ with a cycle $\widehat{\gamma}$ in G by replacing each path p_{e_i} with the edge e_i. If $p_{e_i} = b_1 \cup c_2 \cup b_2$, then Lemma 3.10 implies that p_{e_i} is obtained from e_i by k_{e_i} positive and negative stabilizations. Replacing the arc b_1 with a_1 or b_2 with a_2 is equivalent to a stabilization of sign $-\sigma(v_1, \Sigma_g)$. Therefore, it follows that the classical invariants of $\widehat{\gamma}$ determine the invariants of γ.

The same argument holds for any cycle in Q. Thus, we have proved Parts (2) and (3). Finally, Part (4) follows from Part (2) and Proposition 3.7. □

From the classification of Legendrian unknots, we can deduce that

Lemma 3.15. *Let* (B^3, ξ_{std}) *be a tight contact 3-ball with convex boundary* S. *Let* a *be an arc in the characteristic foliation of* S *connecting two singularities* e_1, e_2. *Furthermore, let* $b_1, b_2 : [0,1] \to B^3$ *are Legendrian arcs such that*

(1) $b_i(0) = e_1$ *and* $b_i(1) = e_2$
(2) $b_i(t)$ *lies in the interior of* B^3 *for* $t \in (0,1)$
(3) *after smoothing, the Legendrian unknots* $K_1 = a \cup b_1$ *and* $K_2 = a \cup b_2$ *have* $tb(K_1) = tb(K_2) = -1$ *(and thus* K_1, K_2 *are Legendrian isotopic in* B^3*).*

Then the arcs b_1, b_2 *are Legendrian isotopic rel boundary.*

We can now prove the main theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let* G *be an abstract planar graph. The pair* (R_g, rot_g) *is a complete set of invariants of topologically trivial Legendrian embeddings* $g : G \to S^3$.

Proof. Let g_1, g_2 be two planar graphs with the same invariants R_g, rot_g. Let q_1, j_1 and q_2, j_2 be the corresponding Legendrian graphs defined in Subsubsection 3.3.2. By Lemma 3.14, the graphs j_1, j_2 have the same invariants R_j, rot_j and there are sequences of bypasses on $\Sigma_{j_1}, \Sigma_{j_2}$ equating the dividing curves. By Lemma 3.13, these bypasses can be attached in the complement of $q_1(Q)$ and $q_2(Q)$. Thus, by Proposition 2.2 there is a Legendrian isotopy h such that $h \circ j_1 = j_2$. Finally, for each positive edge e we can isotope $g_1(D_e)$ and $g_2(D_e)$ to lie in a 3-ball disjoint from $g_1(G \setminus e)$ and $g_2(G \setminus e)$. Then by combining Lemma 3.15 with Lemma 3.11, we can assume that h extends to an isotopy sending $g_1(D_e)$

to $g_2(D_e)$. Repeating for each positive edge, we find that h extends to an isotopy between q_1, q_2 and thus by restriction, $h \circ g_1 = g_2$. □

4. Legendrian simplicity

In this final section, we use Theorem 1.3 to prove Theorem 1.2. Recall that Δ_2 denotes graph with 3 vertices and 2 edges connecting each pair of vertices, and K_4 is the complete graph on four vertices.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be an abstract planar graph and $g : G \to S^3$ its unique topologically trivial embedding.*

1. *If G contains K_4 or Δ_2 as a minor, then the pair $(\overline{R}_g, rot_g)$ is a complete set of invariants.*
2. *If G is 3-connected, the pair (tb_g, rot_g) is a complete set of invariants.*

In both cases, G is Legendrian simple.

Proof. First, suppose that G contains either K_4 or Δ_2 as a minor. Let g be a Legendrian embedding and let Σ_g be a sphere containing $g(G)$. Pick 3 vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3 \subset G$ that would survive to be distinct vertices in K_4 or Δ_2 after contracting edges or deleting vertices. By the Pigeonhole Principle, we can assume that, up to reindexing, the signs at v_1, v_2 satisfy $\sigma(v_1, \Sigma_g) = \sigma(v_2, \Sigma_g)$. We can choose 3 oriented paths p_1, p_2, p_3 from v_1 to v_2 and obtain a corresponding set C of 3 cycles $C_1 = p_1 - p_2; C_2 = p_2 - p_3; C_3 = p_3 - p_1$ as in Subsection 2.5. Since $\sigma(v_1, \Sigma_g) = \sigma(v_2, \Sigma_g)$, the cyclic ordering of p_1, p_2, p_3 at the vertices must be opposite one another. So Lemma 2.1 implies that $\mathrm{rot}_g(C) = 1$.

Now suppose that h is a Legendrian embedding of G with $\mathrm{rot}_h = \mathrm{rot}_g$ and $R_h = -R_g$, i.e. the surface $\overline{R}_g$ with the oppose orientation as R_g. Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be the same oriented paths. However, since $\sigma(v_1, \Sigma_h) = -\sigma(v_1, \Sigma_g)$ and $\sigma(v_2, \Sigma_h) = -\sigma(v_2, \Sigma_g)$, the corresponding fundamental set of cycles C' consists of $C'_1 = p_1 - p_3; C'_2 = p_3 - p_2; C'_3 = p_2 - p_1$. In other words, C' consists of the same cycles as C, but with opposite orientations. Consequently, $\mathrm{rot}_h(C) = -\mathrm{rot}_h(C') = -1$ and therefore $\mathrm{rot}_h \neq \mathrm{rot}_g$, which is a contradiction. Thus, if h has contact framing $\overline{R}_h = \overline{R}_g$ and $\mathrm{rot}_h = \mathrm{rot}_g$ then $R_h = R_g$ and by Theorem 1.3, h is Legendrian isotopic to g.

Secondly, suppose that G is 3-connected and let g be a Legendrian embedding of G. Let Σ be a smooth 2-sphere containing $g(G)$. By Whitney's Theorem, the embedding $g : G \to \Sigma$ is unique up to homeomorphism of S^2. We can obtain the contact framing $\overline{R}_g$ from a tubular neighborhood R of $g(G)$ in Σ as follows. Let e be an edge of G, oriented from v_1 to v_2. Since G is 3-connected, we can choose two paths p_1, p_2 from v_1 to v_2 that are disjoint from e and vertex-independent from each other. Set $C_1 = e - p_1; C_2 = p_2 - e$; and $C_3 = p_1 - p_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g) + \mathrm{tw}(p_1, \Sigma_g) + \mathrm{tw}(p_2, \Sigma_g) + \mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g) - \mathrm{tw}(p_1, \Sigma_g) - \mathrm{tw}(p_2, \Sigma_g)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tb}(C_1) + \mathrm{tb}(C_2) - \mathrm{tb}(C_3))\end{aligned}$$

and so the cycle invariant determines $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g)$. Now add $\mathrm{tw}(e, \Sigma_g)$ twists to R. Repeat for each edge to obtain R_g. Thus, the cycle invariant tb_g determines the contact framing $\overline{R}_g$.

To finish the proof, note that if G is 3-connected then it must contain either K_4 or Δ_2 as a minor. Consequently, by Part (1) the rotation invariant rot_g determines the orientation on R_g.

□

References

[Ben83] Daniel Bennequin. Entrelacements et équations de Pfaff. In *Third Schnepfenried geometry conference, Vol. 1 (Schnepfenried, 1982)*, volume 107 of *Astérisque*, pages 87–161. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1983.

[BW00] Joan S. Birman and Nancy C. Wrinkle. On transversally simple knots. *J. Differential Geom.*, 55(2):325–354, 2000.

[Che02] Yuri Chekanov. Differential algebra of Legendrian links. *Invent. Math.*, 150(3):441–483, 2002.

[DG07] Fan Ding and Hansjörg Geiges. Legendrian knots and links classified by classical invariants. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 9(2):135–162, 2007.

[EF09] Yakov Eliashberg and Maia Fraser. Topologically trivial Legendrian knots. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 7(2):77–127, 2009.

[EH01] John B. Etnyre and Ko Honda. Knots and contact geometry. I. Torus knots and the figure eight knot. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 1(1):63–120, 2001.

[Eli92] Yakov Eliashberg. Contact 3-manifolds twenty years since J. Martinet's work. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 42(1-2):165–192, 1992.

[Eli93] Yakov Eliashberg. Legendrian and transversal knots in tight contact 3-manifolds. In *Topological methods in modern mathematics (Stony Brook, NY, 1991)*, pages 171–193. Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1993.

[ENV13] John B. Etnyre, Lenhard L. Ng, and Vera Vértesi. Legendrian and transverse twist knots. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 15(3):969–995, 2013.

[Etn99] John B. Etnyre. Transversal torus knots. *Geom. Topol.*, 3:253–268 (electronic), 1999.

[FT97] Dmitry Fuchs and Serge Tabachnikov. Invariants of Legendrian and transverse knots in the standard contact space. *Topology*, 36(5):1025–1053, 1997.

[Gir91] Emmanuel Giroux. Convexité en topologie de contact. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 66(4):637–677, 1991.

[Gir93] Emmanuel Giroux. Topologie de contact en dimension 3 (autour des travaux de Yakov Eliashberg). *Astérisque*, (216):Exp. No. 760, 3, 7–33, 1993. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1992/93.

[Gir00] Emmanuel Giroux. Structures de contact en dimension trois et bifurcations des feuilletages de surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 141(3):615–689, 2000.

[HKM07] Ko Honda, William H. Kazez, and Gordana Matić. Right-veering diffeomorphisms of compact surfaces with boundary. *Invent. Math.*, 169(2):427–449, 2007.

[Hon00a] Ko Honda. On the classification of tight contact structures. I. *Geom. Topol.*, 4:309–368, 2000.

[Hon00b] Ko Honda. On the classification of tight contact structures. II. *J. Differential Geom.*, 55(1):83–143, 2000.

[Kan98] Yutaka Kanda. On the Thurston-Bennequin invariant of Legendrian knots and nonexactness of Bennequin's inequality. *Invent. Math.*, 133(2):227–242, 1998.

[Mas69] W. K. Mason. Homeomorphic continuous curves in 2-space are isotopic in 3-space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 142:269–290, 1969.

[Ng03] Lenhard L. Ng. Computable Legendrian invariants. *Topology*, 42(1):55–82, 2003.

[OP12] Danielle O'Donnol and Elena Pavelescu. On Legendrian graphs. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 12(3):1273–1299, 2012.

[OP14] Danielle O'Donnol and Elena Pavelescu. Legendrian θ-graphs. *Pacific J. Math.*, 270(1):191–210, 2014.

[Whi32] Hassler Whitney. Congruent Graphs and the Connectivity of Graphs. *Amer. J. Math.*, 54(1):150–168, 1932.

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University

E-mail address: pblamber@indiana.edu

URL: http://pages.iu.edu/~pblamber/

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University

E-mail address: odonnol@indiana.edu

URL: http://pages.iu.edu/~odonnol/